



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/733,767	12/08/2000	Joseph Seamon	03801.P036	2954	
7:	590 03/08/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Andre L. Mar		THAI, H	THAI, HANH B		
BLAKELY, SC 7th Floor	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2163		
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Description   Descr		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Hanh B. Thai		09/733,767		SEAMON, JOSEPH					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$15 \text{ (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.}  - If NO period for reply is is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABONDED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on The Appeal Brief filed 12/14/2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on The Appeal Brief filed 12/14/2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)		Hanh B. Thai		2163					
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statule, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Status  <ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on The Appeal Brief filed 12/14/2005.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Disposition of Claims  <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Application Papers  <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawin</li></ul></li></ul>		pears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on The Appeal Brief filed 12/14/2005.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	tatus								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on The	Anneal Brief filer	1 12/14/2005						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)									
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	·=	,—							
Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1.3-12.14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1.3-12.14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)									
4)  Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	dioded in decordance with the practice under E	.x parte Quayre,	1000 0.0. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.	•				
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-12.14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)</li> </ul>	isposition of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29</u> is/are pending in the application.								
6)  Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-18 and 20-29 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)									
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	_								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	pplication Papers			<b>,</b> e					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	0) The specification is objected to by the Evamine	ar .							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)									
<u> </u>									
The part of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form PTO-152.	<u> </u>								
	The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the	s attached Office i	Action of form P	0-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa	te	D-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on December 14, 2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A rebuttal to the Reply Brief is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-4 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 3-4 and 6 are depended on non-exist claim 2. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1, 5, 7-12, 14-18 and 20-27 are rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Per MPEP section 2106:

The subject matter to be statutory must claim a practical application i.e. the method as claimed must produces a useful, concrete and tangible result. See AT &T, 172 F.3d at 1358, 50

Application/Control Number: 09/733,767 Page 3

Art Unit: 2163

USPQ2d at 1452.

Regarding claims 1, 14 and 20, applicant merely claims a first structure of categories and a second structure of categories without claiming a practical application for the first and second structure. Furthermore, it is an abstract idea of defining and identifying a hierarchy of categories. "Defining a first structure of categories" and "defining a second structure of categories" can be simply defining data in person's mind to classify a data item. There is no physical process to generate a useful result.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments regarding "a first hierarchy of categories and second structure of categories as an alternative second hierarchy of categories", filed December 14, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1, 3-12, 14-18 and 20-29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Fohn et al. (US 6,460,025 B1)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-12, 14-18 and 20-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ortega et al. (U. S. Patent no. 6,489,968 B1) of record in view of Fohn et al. (US 6,460,025 B1)

Regarding claim 1, Ortega discloses a method of constructing a category structure within a database, the method including:

- defining a first structure of categories to classify a data item, the first structure including at least a first category (see col. 3, lines 12-18, Ortega). The claimed "first structure of categories" corresponds to "higher-level categories".
- defining a second structure of categories of the data item (see col. 3, lines 21-28, Ortega), the second structure including at least a second category, wherein the second category is associated with the first category. The claimed "second structure of categories" corresponds to "low-level categories" (see col. 7, lines 6-24, Ortega), the first category comprises a first category path defined in terms of the first structure of categories and the second category comprises a second category path defines in terms of the second structure of categories, the first category path including a first plurality of categories that are respectively associated with a first plurality of category identifiers and the second category path including a second plurality of categories that are respectively associated with a second plurality of category identifiers (col.5, line 1 to col. 6, line 20 and lines 30-39 and col.7, lines 6-50. The claimed category identifier corresponds to the category name that is unique in Otega).

Ortega, however, does not explicitly disclose "the first hierarchy of categories and an alternative second hierarchy of categories." Fohn, on the other hand, discloses a method for improving user exploration through hierarchies of information including an information hierarchy of categories and an alternative hierarchy of categories (Figs. 6A-6C; col.2, lines 47-61

and col.15, line 63 to col.17, line 29, Fohn). Therefore, Fohn discloses the first hierarchy of categories and an alternative second hierarchy of categories. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Ortega to include

an alternative second hierarchy of categories as taught by Fohn. The motivation of doing so

would have been to increase the popularity of on-line auction services, where a vast array of

products being offered at auction are organized into a hierarchy of categories to conveniently

direct a shopper's attention to the items corresponding to his personal interest (see col. 2, lines

18-23, Fohn).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the first and second category is a leaf category of the first and second hierarchy of categories (see col. 3, lines 12-24 and col. 4, lines 43-61, Ortega).

Regarding claim 5, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses defining the second category (C2, Fig. 1B) to point to the first category (C1, Fig. 1B, Ortega).

Regarding claim 6, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the second structure includes defining the second hierarchy such that navigation of the second hierarchy to locate data items classified as being attributed to the second category locates data items classified as being attributed to the first category of the first hierarchy (see col. 4, lines 43-52, Ortega).

Regarding claim 7, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the data item is user classifiable under the first structure of categories (C2, Fig. 1B) and is not user-classifiable under the second structure of categories (C3, Fig.1B, Ortega).

Regarding claim 8, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the data item is directly categorized as being within the first category of the first structure of categories and is indirectly

Art Unit: 2163

categorized as being within the second category of the second structure of categories (see col. 4, lines 53-67 and Fig. 1B, Ortega).

Page 6

Regarding claim 9, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses defining a category table including a category record for each category of the first and second structures of categories, each category record within the category table (see col.9, lines 59-62) including a category identifier, wherein a category record that describes the second category includes a category identifier (see col. 4, lines 20-23, Ortega) of a category record for the first category.

Regarding claim 10, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the data item is a database record describing any one of a group of products and services of a transaction facilitated by a network-based transaction facility (see col. 4, lines 23-33, Ortega).

Regarding claim 11, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the network-based transaction facility is a network-based auction facility (see col. 3, lines 29-37, Ortega).

Regarding claim 12, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses defining a third structure of categories to provide a further alternative classification of the data item, the third structure including at least a third category, wherein the third category is associated with the first category of the first structure of categories (see col. 5, lines 34-45, Ortega).

Regarding claims 14 and 28, Ortega discloses a method of classifying a data item within a database, the method including:

identifying a first category, of a first hierarchy of categories, attributed to a data item (see col. 1, lines 58-64 and col. 3, lines 12-18, Ortega discloses "higher-level categories" corresponds to "first structure of categories"); and

Art Unit: 2163

automatically attributing a second category, of a second hierarchy of categories, to the data item (see col.3, lines 21-28; col. 4, lines 20-23 and col.7, lines 6-24, Ortega discloses the "low-level categories" that corresponds to "second structure of categories"), wherein the first and second categories are defined by respective category paths of the first and second hierarchies of categories, the first category path including a first plurality of categories that are respectively associated with a first plurality of category identifiers and the second category path including a second plurality of categories that are respectively associated with a second plurality of category identifiers (col.5, line 1 to col. 6, line 20 and

lines 30-39 and col.7, lines 6-50. Ortega discloses the category name that is

unique identified and displayed to the user corresponds to "category identifier").

Page 7

Ortega, however, does not explicitly disclose "a second alternative hierarchy of categories." Fohn, on the other hand, discloses a method for improving user exploration through hierarchies of information including an information hierarchy of categories and an alternative hierarchy of categories (Figs. 6A-6C; col.2, lines 47-61 and col.15, line 63 to col.17, line 29, Fohn). Therefore, Fohn discloses the first hierarchy of categories and an alternative second hierarchy of categories. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Ortega to include an alternative second hierarchy of categories as taught by Fohn. The motivation of doing so would have been to increase the popularity of on-line auction services, where a vast array of products being offered at auction are organized into a hierarchy of categories to conveniently direct a shopper's attention to the items corresponding to his personal interest (see col. 2, lines 18-23, Fohn).

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claim 15, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the first and second categories are associated within a description of categories within the database (see col. 4, lines 29-33, Ortega discloses the "book title" that is description of the book category).

Page 8

Regarding claim 16, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the first category is attributed to the data item by a user during a user classification operation and the second category is dynamically attributed to the data item during a user navigation operation of the second hierarchy of categories, wherein the dynamic attributing of the second category is performed by identification of an association between the first and second categories (see col. 4, lines 43-67 and col. 4, lines 20-33, Ortega).

Regarding claim 17, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the first category is directly recorded within the database as being attributed to the data item and the second category is recorded as being linked to the first category within the database (see col. 4, lines 53-67, Ortega).

Regarding claim 18, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the first and second categories are each leaf categories of the respective first and second hierarchies of categories (see col. 4, lines 59-67, Ortega).

Regarding claims 20 and 29, Ortega discloses a method of facilitating location of a data item within a database, the method including:

facilitating user-navigation of a first category structure to select a first category (see col. 1, lines 34-50, Ortega);

Art Unit: 2163

identifying a second category (Outdoors/Events/Olympics) of a second category structure as being linked to the first category (Books/Sports) of the first category structure (col. 1, lines 42-57); and

Page 9

identifying data items of the second category responsive to the selection of the first category of the first category structure (see col. 1, line 58 to col. 2, line2, Ortega), wherein the first and second categories are defined by respective category paths of the first and second hierarchies of categories, the first category path including a first plurality of categories that are respectively associated with a first plurality of category identifiers and the second category path including a second plurality of categories that are respectively associated with a second plurality of category identifiers (col.5, line 1 to col. 6, line 20 and lines 30-39 and col.7, lines 6-50. Ortega discloses the category name that is unique identified and displayed to the user corresponds to "category identifier").

Ortega, however, does not explicitly disclose "the first hierarchy of categories and an alternative second hierarchy of categories." Fohn, on the other hand, discloses a method for improving user exploration through hierarchies of information including an information hierarchy of categories and an alternative hierarchy of categories (Figs. 6A-6C; col.2, lines 47-61 and col.15, line 63 to col.17, line 29, Fohn). Therefore, Fohn discloses the first hierarchy of categories and an alternative second hierarchy of categories. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Ortega to include an alternative second hierarchy of categories as taught by Fohn. The motivation of doing so would have been to increase the popularity of on-line auction services, where a vast array of

Art Unit: 2163

products being offered at auction are organized into a hierarchy of categories to conveniently direct a shopper's attention to the items corresponding to his personal interest (see col. 2, lines 18-23, Fohn).

Regarding claim 21, Ortega/Fohn combination discloses the facilitating of the user navigation of presenting at least one user interface to display navigation information according to the first hierarchy of categories (see col. 1, lines 34-50 and Fig.1A, Fig.2, Ortega);

Regarding claim 22, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the presenting of the at least one user interface comprises generating at least one markup language document (250, Fig. 2 of Ortega discloses the web containing the markup language document).

Regarding claim 23, Ortega/Fohn combination discloses that any one of a group of navigation aids including a drop-down menu, a selection of check boxes, a selection of radio buttons, an embedded Java application and an embedded ActiveX control (see the browser of Fig.1A and Fig.2, Ortega).

Regarding claims 24-25, Ortega/Fohn combination discloses the first record includes a pointer to a second record within the category table describing the second category (col.7, lines 6-31, Ortega discloses the link that corresponds to the pointer).

Regarding claim 26, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the first and second categories comprise respective leaf categories (see col. 4, lines 59-67, Ortega).

Regarding claim 27, Ortega/Fohn combination further discloses the step of communicating the identified data items within a markup language document (250, Fig. 2) transmitted over a network (Fig. 2 of Ortega).

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Snow et al. (US 6,055,540) discloses method and apparatus for creating a category hierarchy for classification of documents.

2. Wical (US 5,768,580) discloses methods and apparatus for dynamic classification of discourse.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B. Thai whose telephone number is 571-272-4029. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Hanh B Thai Examiner Art Unit 2163

> > SAFET METJAHIO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY OF TER 2500

February 23, 2006